DENIEDBY ORDER OF THE COURT

UNITED STATE DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

ANTONIO LOPEZ, individually; JOHANNA LOPEZ, individually; M.R., by and through his guardian ad litem, April Rodriguez, individually and as successor in interest to Brandon Lopez; B.L. and J.L., by and through their guardian ad litem Rachel Perez, individually and as successors in interest to Brandon Lopez; S.L., by and through his guardian ad litem, Rocio Flores, individually and as successor in interest to Brandon Lopez,

Plaintiff,

VS.

CITY OF ANAHEIM, a municipal corporation; CITY OF SANTA ANA, a municipal corporation; DAVID VALENTIN, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief of Police for the CITY OF SANTA ANA Police Department; JORGE CISNEROS, individually and in his official capacity as the Chief of Police for the CITY OF ANAHEIM Police Department; PAUL DELGADO, individually and in his official capacity as an officer for the CITY OF ANAHEIM Police Department; BRETT HEITMAN; KENNETH WEBER, individually and in his official capacity as an officer for the CITY OF ANAHEIM Police Department; BRETT HEITMAN; KENNETH WEBER, individually and in his official capacity as an officer for the CITY OF ANAHEIM Police Department; BRETT

Case No.: 8:22-cv-01351-JVS-ADS

(Honorable James V. Selna; Magistrate Judge Autumn D. Spaeth)

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EX PARTE MOTION TO MODIFY THE SCHEDULING ORDER HEITMAN; CAITLIN PANOV, individually and in her official capacity as an officer for the CITY
OF ANAHEIM Police Department;
BRETT HEITMAN, individually and in his official capacity as an officer for the CITY OF ANAHEIM Police Department; BRETT HEITMAN;
DOES 1-10, individually and in their official capacity as law enforcement officers for the CITY OF ANAHEIM Police Department and CITY OF SANTA ANA Police Department,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER

The Court has reviewed Plaintiffs' Ex Parte Motion to Modify the Scheduling Order, filed May 7, 2024. Finding GOOD CAUSE therefore, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion and ORDERS the scheduling order in this matter be modified as follows:

Case Event	Previous Deadline [Dkt 110]	New Deadline
Jury Trial	September 17, 2024, at	June 24, 2025, at 8:30
	8:30 am	am
File Findings of Fact and	September 10, 2024	June 17, 2025
Conclusions of Law		
Final Pre-Trial	September 9, 2024, at	June 16, 2025, at 11:00
Conference	11:00 am	am
File Pre-Trial Documents	August 26, 2024	June 2, 2025
File Motions in Limine	August 5, 2024	May 12, 2025
Fact Discovery Cut-off	May 10, 2024	February 14, 2025
Expert Discovery Cut-off	June 14, 2024	January 10, 2025
Initial Disclosure of	May 17, 2024	December 13, 2024
Experts		
Rebuttal Disclosure of	May 31, 2024	December 27, 2024
Experts		
Law and Motion Cut-off	July 15, 2024, at 1: 30 pm	February 10, 2025, at
		1:30 pm
Motions to be filed and	June 17, 2024	January 13, 2025
served		

PG. 2

Case No. 8:22-cv-01351-JVS-ADS

1	IT IS SO ORDERED.
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	DENED
7	Dated: May 7, 2024 By: BY ORDER OF THE COURT
8	Honorable Judge James V. Selna United States District Judge for the
9	Central District of California
10	
11	Court will consider adjusting any dates other than the trial date and the pretrial
12	conference date. JVS
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22 23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
- '	PG. 3 Case No. 8:22-cv-01351-JVS-ADS
	IPROPOSEDI ORDER

Case 8:22-cv-01351-JVS-ADS Document 119 Filed 05/07/24 Page 3 of 3 Page ID #:1521